

# P1. “3 = 0”

Syed, Wilson’s School

January 16, 2022

Our two hypotheses are the following:

$$x \in \mathbf{C} \tag{1}$$

$$x^2 + x + 1 = 0. \tag{2}$$

In the dodgy proof, we have the following additional statements:

$$x^2 = -x - 1 \tag{3}$$

$$x = -1 - \frac{1}{x} \tag{4}$$

$$x^2 + \left(-1 - \frac{1}{x}\right) + 1 = 0 \tag{5}$$

$$x^2 = \frac{1}{x} \tag{6}$$

$$x^3 = 1 \tag{7}$$

$$x = 1 \tag{8}$$

$$1 + 1 + 1 = 0 \tag{9}$$

$$3 = 0 \tag{10}$$

The structure of the dodgy proof is that

$$(2) \Rightarrow (3) \tag{11}$$

$$(3) \Rightarrow (4) \tag{12}$$

$$(4) \wedge (2) \Rightarrow (5) \tag{13}$$

$$(5) \Rightarrow (6) \tag{14}$$

$$(6) \Rightarrow (7) \tag{15}$$

$$(7) \Rightarrow (8) \tag{16}$$

$$(8) \wedge (2) \Rightarrow (9) \tag{17}$$

$$(9) \Rightarrow (10) \tag{18}$$

If we accept that  $x \in \mathbf{C}$ , then the dodgy proof is not correct, because (7) does not imply (8). (There are three complex numbers whose cube is 1, namely 1,  $\text{cis } \frac{\tau}{3}$  and  $\text{cis } \frac{2\tau}{3}$ . [ $\tau = 2\pi$ .])

If we do not accept (1), but instead assume that  $x \in \mathbf{R}$ , then (11) through to (18) are true, but the proof is not correct, because (2) is impossible. (The discriminant of (2) is negative.)